United States Pate

AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WASHINGTON: DC 20231 UNDER SECRETAL DIRECTOR OF

MAILED

NOV 2 6 2002

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

In re application of

George Likourezos

9321 Ridge Boulevard Brooklyn, NY 11209-6706

George Likourezos, et al. -

Application No. 10/044,075

Filed: January 11, 2002

For SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR EFFECTING: A REAL-TIME PAYMENT FOR AN ITEM:

WON ON AN ELECTRONIC AUCTION

**DECISION ON PETITION** TO MAKE SPECIAL (INFRINGEMENT)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R § 1.102(d) filed May 1, 2002 to make the above-identified application special. A supplemental petition was submitted on November 25, 2002.

The petition requests that the above-identified application of made special united the procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, item II: Infringement.

MPEP 708.02 states that a Petition to Make Special based on Infringement must have the following: (1) the appropriate petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i); (2) a statement by the assignee, applicant, or attorney alleging: (A) that there is an infringing device or product actually on the market; (B) that a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device or product with the claims of the application has been made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed; and (C) that he or she has made a careful and thorough search of the prior art, or has good knowledge of the prior art, and has sent a copy of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims.

The petition filed May 1, 2002 and supplemented on November 25, 2002 includes all of the requirements above and, therefore, the petition is GRANTED.

The examiner is directed (1) to make an interference search for possible interfering applications; (2) to promptly examine this application out of turn; and (3) if any interfering application is discovered, to examine such application simultaneously and state in the first official letter of such application that it is being taken out of turn because of a possible interference.

Petitioner is advised that this application will continue to be special, throughout its entire prosecution and pendency, including interference and appeal, if any, only if petitioner

Best Available Copy

makes a prompt bona fide effort, in response to each Office action, to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to conduct an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

Randolph A. Reese

Special Programs Examiner Technology Center 3600

(703)308-2121